## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IVORIE KELLEY,	§
	§ No. 266, 2019
Defendant Below,	§
Appellant,	§ Court Below—Superior Court
	§ of the State of Delaware
v.	§
	§ Cr. ID No. 1705016229 (N)
STATE OF DELAWARE,	§
	§
Plaintiff Below,	§
Appellee.	<b>§</b>

Submitted: September 6, 2019 Decided: November 14, 2019

Before SEITZ, Chief Justice; VALIHURA and TRAYNOR, Justices.

## **ORDER**

Upon consideration of the appellant's opening brief, the appellee's motion to affirm, and the record below, it appears to the Court that:

- (1) The appellant, Ivorie Kelley, filed this appeal from the Superior Court's denial of his motion for sentence reduction. The State of Delaware has filed a motion to affirm the judgment below on the ground that it is manifest on the face of Kelley's opening brief that his appeal is without merit. We agree and affirm.
- (2) In July 2017, a grand jury indicted Kelley for multiple weapon charges. On November 7, 2017, Kelley pleaded guilty to Possession of a Firearm by a Person Prohibited ("PFBPP") in exchange for dismissal of the remaining charges. The plea agreement reflected that the parties agreed to immediate sentencing and that the State

would recommend a sentence of fifteen years of Level V incarceration suspended after the five-year minimum/mandatory. Under 16 *Del. C.* § 1448(e)(1)(b), a person who is convicted of PFBPP and has a violent felony conviction within the previous ten years must be sentenced to a minimum of five years at Level V. The Superior Court sentenced Kelley to fifteen years of Level V incarceration, suspended after five years for one year of Level III probation.

- (3) On February 9, 2018, Kelley filed a motion for sentence modification. He sought to reduce the non-suspended Level V portion of his sentence from five years to two years. The Superior Court denied the motion because it could not reduce or suspend the mandatory portion of a substantive minimum sentence. Kelley did not appeal.
- (4) On November 30, 2018, Kelley filed a motion for correction of illegal sentence under Superior Court Criminal Rule 35(a). He argued that § 1448(e)(1)(b) did not apply to his PFBPP sentence because his 2013 conviction for drug dealing was a Class D felony under 16 *Del. C.* § 4754(3) and was not defined as a violent felony under 16 *Del. C.* § 4201(c). After reviewing Kelley's criminal history, the Superior Court found that Kelley was charged with, and pleaded guilty to, drug dealing under 16 *Del. C.* § 4753(2), a Class C felony and a violent felony under 11 *Del. C.* § 4201(c). Kelley was therefore subject to a five-year minimum mandatory sentence for PFBPP under § 1448(e)(1)(b). Kelley did not appeal.

- (5) On February 28, 2019, Kelley filed a motion for reduction of sentence. He again challenged his drug dealing conviction and argued that § 1448(e)(1)(b) should not have applied to his PFBPP sentence. The Superior Court denied the motion, finding that it raised issues more appropriate to a direct appeal and was untimely and repetitive. This appeal followed.
- (6) On appeal, Kelley continues to argue that § 1448(e)(1)(b) did not apply to his PFBPP sentence. We review the denial of a motion for reduction of sentence for abuse of discretion.<sup>1</sup> To the extent a claim involves a question of law, we review the claim *de novo*.<sup>2</sup>
- (7) Kelley's PFBPP sentence is not illegal. He was subject to a five-year minimum sentence under § 1448(e)(1)(b) because he was convicted of a violent felony—drug dealing under 16 *Del. C.* § 4753(2)—within ten years of his PFBPP conviction. In arguing that the drug dealing plea paperwork showed a sentencing range for a Class D rather than Class C felony, Kelley ignores that the paperwork identified the charge he was pleading guilty to as "Drug Dealing—Marijuana—No Tier Weight—1 Aggravating Factor (in vehicle) (Felony C) (16 Del. C. 4753(2))."<sup>3</sup> The sentencing transcript provided by Kelley also reflects that he admitted he was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> State v. Culp, 152 A.3d 141, 144 (Del. 201).

<sup>2</sup> I.A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Exhibit J to Motion to Affirm.

guilty of possession with intent to deliver a controlled substance in a car, the elements of drug dealing under § 4753(2).

(8) Kelley's ineffective assistance of counsel claims are outside the scope of a Rule 35(a) motion.<sup>4</sup> To the extent Kelley seeks reduction of his PFBPP sentence under Rule 35(b), the Superior Court cannot reduce the mandatory portion of Kelley's sentence.<sup>5</sup> Kelley's motion was also repetitive and untimely.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the Motion to Affirm is GRANTED and the judgment of the Superior Court is AFFIRMED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Gary F. Traynor
Justice

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Tatem v. State, 787 A.2d 80, 82 (Del. 2001).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> State v. Sturgis, 947 A.2d 1087, 1092–93 (Del. 2008).